

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

84)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,699	09/04/2003		Loren R. Graber	20794-82667	8589
7590 06/18/2004			EXAMINER		
Barnes & Thornburg				SALDANO, LISA M	
600 One Summit Square Fort Wayne, IN 46802				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673		
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/656,699	GRABER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lisa M. Saldano	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may bly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) More, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 S	September 2003 and 18 M	March 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.  or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	its have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/2004.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/656,699

Art Unit: 3673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 11 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 11, line 2, the applicant states "wherein the floor comprising removable portions." It is assumed that the applicant intended to recite wherein the floor comprises removable portions.

Regarding claim 18, line 1, the applicant recites that claim 18 depends from claim 18. This appears to be incorrect. Please correct.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al (5,718,537) in view of Thomas (4,104,885).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,699

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 8, Becker et al disclose a polymeric trench drain 10 that is capable of being used as a liner (see column 1, lines 35-40). Becker et al illustrate a longitudinally extending trough with a floor 14 and sidewalls 12 (see Fig. 1). The sidewalls define a longitudinally extending edge comprising seepage lip 29. Becker et al also disclose first through fourth screw-holes or longitudinally extending receptacles 56 on the outermost-end support ribs 24 with first and second transverse ends. At the male end 16 of the invention, the longitudinally extending sidewalls and floor extend beyond a first open end of one of the receptacles located near crushing rib or section 61. The first transverse open end of the screw-hole or receptacle faces a transverse open of the trough. The screw-holes permit male ends 16 and female ends 18 of adjacent trench drain section to be fastened to one another via connecting screws 58 (see column 4, lines 15-25).

Regarding claim 2, Becker et al disclose a female end 18 comprising receptacles or screw-holes in an outermost-end support rib 24 wherein an end of screw-hole is essentially flush with a second open end of the trough.

Regarding claim 4, the screw-holes permit male ends 16 and female ends 18 of adjacent trench drain sections to be fastened to one another via connecting screws 58 (see column 4, lines 15-25).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, the male end 16 includes a portion of sidewalls 12 and floor 14 and the female end comprises a recessed portion adapted to matingly receive a male end of an adjacent drain. Thereby, portions adjacent trench drains are partially encompassed by other portions through overlapping.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,699

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 6, Becker et al disclose rods or spikes 36 that extend from a sidewall of the trench drain and that are secured to the ground.

However, Becker et al fail to disclose that the receptacles are located on the longitudinally extending edge 29.

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 13, Thomas discloses tunnel lining assembled out of multiple connectable segments wherein each segment has longitudinally receptacles for receiving connecting dowels to hold the segments together (see abstract and Fig.1). The connecting dowels interference fit their respective longitudinally extending receptacles.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the trench drain of Becker et al to incorporate longitudinally receptacles within the longitudinally extending edge of the drain, as well as connecting dowels to fasten abutting segments of the drain, because insertion of connecting members into longitudinally extending receptacles is a functionally equivalent method of fastening adjacent segments in a longitudinally extending trench drain or liner, as taught by Thomas.

Regarding claim 9, once the two adjacent sections of trench drain are abutted together and engaged by means of a connecting dowel, at least some portion of a second trench drain would fit between the longitudinal edge of a first trench drain and the dowel connecting the two.

4. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Becker et al in view of Thomas, as applied to claim 8 above, in further view of Butler (4,741,645).

Becker et al and Thomas disclose the inventions as described above.

However, they fail to disclose removable portion in the floor of the drain.

Butler discloses a continuous gutter lining 8, which is essentially a liner for a channel (see Figs.8&9). Butler discloses a flange 40 glued to the bottom 43 of the gutter liner in the immediate region of a downspout 36 and a tubular portion 38 that extends downwardly into downspout 36. Butler further discloses that a hole 59 is made into the gutter liner strip such that runoff water can drain into the downspout adapter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the trench drain of Becker et al to provide holes in the bottom portion, as taught by Butler et al, because the trench drains may, and commonly do, drain into another pipe and out to another part of a larger water collection system. The holes taught by Butler et al provide an opening for the liquid to drain into such a pipe. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to provide a perforated line within the trench drain floor to facilitate removal of a portion of the drain to accommodate such a pipe. In the case that removal of a bottom portion of the drain is not necessary, the trench drain's perforated portion may remain intact without compromising operation of the system.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Akkala et al (5,443,327) and Nienstadt (3,854,292) disclose features that pertain to the present application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa M. Saldano whose telephone number is 703-605-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lms

HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600